



**OPCC**

Office of the Police &  
Crime Commissioner  
for Gloucestershire

**AUTHOR: DI 1495 Jo Mercurio**

**SPONSOR: DCC Jon Stratford**

**DECISION NUMBER: D28-2019**

*(to be completed on approval)*

**SUBMITTED TO: Martin Surl, Police & Crime Commissioner for Gloucestershire**

**SUBJECT: Insider Threat Protection Software (ITPS)**

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

A paper was presented to CGB on 19 November 2019, which sought support for the procurement of a software package which has been recommended as a requirement by HMICFRS as part of their PEEL reports.

This software package monitors Constabulary email systems to preserve their integrity.

On advice from SWPPD, this software package can be purchased from an existing framework agreement.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

PCC Governance Board is asked to note the decision taken at CGB on 19 November 2019, to procure this software package.

**OUTCOME/APPROVAL BY:**

Signature:

Date: 26 November 2019

Police and Crime Commissioner for Gloucestershire

<p><b>Public Access to Information</b></p> <p><i>Information in this form and associated reports is subject to the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and the Elected Local Policing Bodies (Specified Information) Order 2011. Where it has been indicated that this is a decision of significant public interest, all of this form except Part Two will be made available on the website of the OPCC.</i></p> <p><i>Any information that should not be automatically available on request should not be included in Part One but instead on a separate Part Two form.</i></p>	
<p><b>Is this a decision of significant public interest?</b></p> <p><i>This includes a decision with any impact on the community, expenditure in excess of £50,000, or any decision that would be of obvious interest to the media or the general public</i></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>This decision would be of interest to the media and the general public. The Public rightly expect that all officers and staff use the systems available to them for a policing purpose only and handle their information correctly. They would expect the Constabulary to have a system that enables the proactive and reactive monitoring of this.</p> <p>Expenditure is in excess of £50,000.</p>
<p><b>Is there a Part Two form?</b></p> <p><i>This section should only include information that, if published:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) <i>would, in the view of the chief officer of the police, be against the interests of national security;</i></li> <li>b) <i>might, in the view of the chief officer of police, jeopardise the safety of any person;</i></li> <li>c) <i>might, in the view of the chief officer of police, prejudice the prevention or detection of crime, the apprehension or prosecution of offenders, or the administration of justice; or</i></li> <li>d) <i>is prohibited by any enactment.</i></li> <li>e) <i>breaches commercial sensitivity</i></li> </ul>	<p><b>Yes – part (a) and (c) apply.</b></p>
<p><b>ORIGINATOR CHECKLIST (MUST BE COMPLETED)</b></p>	<p><b>Comments including who has approved the report if applicable</b></p>
<p>Has legal advice been sought on this submission if required?</p>	<p>Yes – The accompanying Policy has been submitted to the legal department for oversight.</p>
<p>Has the Chief Finance Officer been consulted, if required?</p>	<p>Yes – The CFO has been involved in prior discussions around the purchase of this product.</p>
<p>Have equality, diversity and human rights implications</p>	<p>Yes – see accompanying policy</p>

been considered, as appropriate?	
How is the recommendation consistent with the objectives of the Police and Crime Plan?	Yes – The recommendations would ensure that the PCP objectives are maintained and stabilised by ongoing reassurance to the public about the transparency of the Constabulary and that their information is dealt with and managed appropriately and that they can have faith in the professionalism of officers and staff.
Has consultation been undertaken with people or agencies likely to be affected by the recommendation?	Yes – The attached matrix indicates the departments that have been consulted and involved in the process. The business case has been discussed at two previous boards with internal partners present.
Has communications advice been sought on areas of likely media, community, staff or partner interest and how they might be managed?	Yes – Communications and Engagement were present during the Transformation Delivery Board on the 10/10/19 and didn't raise any issues.
Have all relevant implications and risks been considered?	Yes – Through the business case, the creation of the accompanying policy and the oversight of the boards that have considered this product.

**PART ONE – For publication**

**Please see part 2 paper**

<p><b>SPONSORING BOARD MEMBER APPROVAL</b></p> <p><b>Name: Jon Stratford</b></p> <p><b>Job title: Deputy Chief Constable</b></p>
--



**Signature:**

**Date: 19/11/19**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE APPROVAL**

I am satisfied that relevant advice has been taken into account in the preparation of the report and that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the PCC.



**Signature:**

**Date: 19/11/19**