

Minutes

10:00 Tuesday 09 October 2018
The Leadon room, Police HQ, Waterwells

Attendees:



Chris Brierley (CB) Chair	Deputy PCC for Gloucestershire	Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC)
Andy Barge (AB)	Strategic Group Manager	Forest of Dean District Council
Ian Tonner (IT)	Assistant Manager	Gloucestershire Fire and Rescue Service
Richard Bradley (RB)	Deputy Chief Executive	Office of the PCC
Mike Brain (MB)	CCP Chief Executive	VCS Alliance Trustee
Sarah Scott (SS)	Director of Public Health	Gloucestershire County Council
Paul Dutton (PD)	Superintendent - Neighbourhood	Gloucestershire Constabulary
Stuart Felce (SF)	Strategic Lead, Sport and Crime	StreetGames
Andy Dempsey (AD)	Director of Partnerships	Gloucestershire County Council
Emma Savage(ES)	Deputy Director Transformation	Clinical Commissioning Group
Mike Hammond (MH)	Head of Community Services	Stroud District Council
Sophie Jarrett (SJ)	County DASV Strategic Coordinator	Public Protection Bureau
Kate Langley (KL)	Youth Justice Manager	Youth Support Gloucestershire
Julian Moss (JM)	Assistant Chief Constable	Gloucestershire Constabulary
Pat Pratley (PP)	Chief Executive	Cheltenham Borough Council
Amanda Murray (AM)	SOSM	National Probation Service
Chris Spencer (CS)	Director of Children's Services	Gloucestershire County Council
John Campbell (JC)	Director of Service Delivery	2gether NHS Foundation Trust
Richard Temple (RT)	Assistant Chief Officer	Community Rehabilitation Company
Peter Tonge (PT)	Head of Community Services	Tewkesbury Borough Council
Tim Wood (TW)	Chief Inspector Community Harm	Gloucestershire Constabulary
Kezia Lane (KLa)	Research & Information Officer	Office of the PCC

ITEM		ACTION
1.	Welcome, Introductions and Apologies	
	<p>CB welcomed all to the meeting and noted the apologies as follows: Anne Brinkhoff (ABr) Corporate Director, Gloucester City Council John Wiseman (JW) Probation Director, Community Rehabilitation Company Supt Emma Davies (ED) Gloucestershire Constabulary</p> <p>He then explained that the format of this agenda was one that he would like to change as he felt that a more informal style would provide more energy.</p> <p>Introductions were made around the table. Amanda Murray attended on behalf of Mark Scully Richard Temple was representing CRC</p>	
2.	Minutes	
	Draft minutes from the meeting held on 10 July 2018 were approved by the board and signed off.	
3.	Secretariat update	
	<p>CB thanked JM for setting up the secretariat. TW said that he was a big believer in effective partnership and hoped that by January 2019 the secretariat (which is very much the engine room) will be developed and find a way forward. The secretariat will see strategy protocols signed off, decide on how the meeting will run, agenda items. TW acknowledged that there was no Policy Officer as yet. The next meeting is scheduled for 25th October and has a whole afternoon blocked out for it. The membership of the group was outlined: TW, AD, ABr, SS, KLa Jennifer Taylor, Nicola McClean.</p> <p>RB said that a priority is needed so a strategy needs to be developed. - Violent crime/prevention is a key priority- JM asked how do we work out a mechanism for priorities. SS suggested we compare from others CB said that 'SG' is here to enhance CSPs. Local CSPs can bring ideas together and 'SG' can add value. CB also suggested that 'Ted talks' could be used in future meetings, where one will have 10 mins to present and then ask the board for questions. 'SG' policy officer role – the job is being evaluated. What do partners want to be put forward in funding for the position? Can this be confirmed in writing to KLa. The job description can be recirculated.</p>	ALL/KLa
4.	Prevent Duty Tool kit for Local Authorities and Prevent Agencies	
5.	Discussion on CSP, ToR, Recommendations for CT Prevent	
	<p>PD gave an overview that included agenda items 4 and 5. Discussed the 2016 review (Bensted) and the re-launch of neighbourhood policing in 2018</p>	

	<p>Multi agency Platform ToR from The FOD shares best practice. He offered to present/give updates as he is now the prevent lead. Stated that he's looking at LA to take the lead as they're more suited. Local prefill – terrorism, has everyone been cited? It was established that all chief execs have got it. RB – The challenge is to create the connectivity between those boards and 'SG'. Can we be more efficient? What are the Board's thoughts? AB- Will share partnership protocol from Safer Oxfordshire. PD to send KLa the toolkit link to circulate.</p>	<p>ALL AB KLa</p>
6.1	Domestic Homicide Reviews (DHRs) update	
	<p>SJ –Gave an update and stated that it is running smoothly. At present they have two ongoing reviews. The MDHR is likely to run over schedule due to complex needs. The joint DHR/Case review – standing together - chief exec to agree scope of review. And family members will help contribute.</p>	
6.2	Draft Funding Agreement for family advocacy	
	<p>SJ- Funds are available for the first 25 families, beyond that there is not enough funding. Are we able to add a top up? The first area to develop a funding mechanism. If happy with the model £200-£300 each. All were happy. RB said that this was a really positive thing to come out of 'SG'.</p>	
7.	Youth Crime; Safer Together Through Sport	
	<p>SF gave an introduction and overview: Street Games is not a charity, DCMS funds England. The focus is on community safety and a strategy is in place for support for sport. The aim is to change behaviour through the medium of sport. Funding for additional training needed. The academic understanding is there of ACEs. Create a framework /guidance referral program. If these are achieved then can try to influence the investment. Stated that are keen to talk to VCS. Also at present Fire is absent so keen to bind all together.</p> <p>JM- The Glos 2050 vision can be used to group in big ideas for sound support. Building resilience is something that he is fully supportive of and stated that he wants to do something and asked what can we ('SG') do? SS- Support England. LCSP KL – Children First. SF- explained how he wants to have an event/engagement day to set out outcomes. General discussion on this was then undertaken around the table. PP – spoke about how this relates to ACEs and how this could be beneficial to those expelled from school, however it was suggested that the word 'sport' was dropped as this was felt like something that would not appeal to all, it needs to suggest fun.</p>	

	<p>CB concluded that this is a great opportunity and happy to progress. It was decided that AB and KL will come back with SF in January. It was established that the next phase will be to have an engagement day and have police involvement.</p>	
8.	<p>ASB/Young people proposal</p> <p>How are we responding to ASB? It was explained that workshops with the YST/ Police have showed that ASBIs are not effective. The needs of the child are not being supported as their needs are not being understood. ASBIs should be the last resort as should keeping them out of the formal system. There is at present a communication error. The ASBIs are not in line with Children First policies. As a result of these workshops clear guidelines need to be in place with a single agency response. The proposal presents that persisting behaviour should be referred to the YST and be based on high support and high challenge. PP talked of how in her experience through CSPs the catalyst for ASB is school exclusions and a restorative approach should be taken. CB asked if this was something that 'SG' should be involved with or should this be up to the local districts. PP felt that local districts can do this with CSPs. PT supportive of this. CS stated that Glos secondary schools exclude far more than other districts and that heads should be engaged with. He questioned if a secondary school head should be on the 'SG' panel. JM – Secondary Heads Association would be best method to proceed with this. PP- Exclusions in primary schools are also on the rise and this needs to be tackled now, we need to wrap around schools as 'our' problem. AD- working together arrangements are key. Exclusions should come through this. KL summed up the proposal and will work with AD on looking at how SG can contribute to the work around ASB. A more detailed proposal will be submitted from KL in due course. Possibly in January</p>	KL
9.	<p>Engagement available from the Voluntary Community Service (VCS)</p> <p>MB gave an introduction and overview to VCS. Promoting the voluntary sector and function. It needs to amalgamate to be more coherent. Stakeholders were explained. A 3yr strategy- How does commissioning operate? Joining up with Keepsafe, demonstrating partnership Engage/Communicate/Consult. Can divulge at next meeting. RB – Applauds the scheme. With regards to commissioning and Social Value Act 2011 how can we work more closely with our providers?</p>	
10.	<p>Around the table</p> <p>PT talked about the fact his local CSP has been reformed and meets in the next few weeks. He'll report back how that goes in the new year. MH talked about the retirement of SDCs Chief Executive David Hagg and talked about the interim measures whilst the new CEO takes up her</p>	

role.

CS informed the board that Ofsted has recently been back in to see how the authority is doing with regards to Children's services. Whilst he thinks if they were to be graded now it would still be "inadequate" he said the inspectors do believe the authority has turned a corner and is going in the right direction.

SJ said she would circulate to members' details of the 16 days of action taking place next month.

RB spoke to the board about the Government's new violent crime strategy and how more devolved powers are coming to PCCs to hold partners in the criminal justice world to account.

JM welcomed today's meeting and the real progress being made. He said some of the debates had raised questions in his mind around priorities for the board going forward. Specifically around vulnerability.

Chair's closing remarks.

CB thanked board members for a lively and informative meeting. He asked members to submit their thoughts on the board, how it operates to meetings etc to KLa to help shape the secretariat's thinking. He also asked members to submit any agenda items for January's meeting to KLa before Christmas. Items confirmed on the agenda for January include - DHRs , Information Sharing Agreement and Safer Through Sport.

Members asked if there was a possibility of a meeting/development session before Christmas – TW said the secretariat are meeting in the next few weeks and will see if a development session can be fitted in.

Members would be advised by email if it was possible/desirable.

With the meeting potentially the last one of the year - the chair wished everyone a Merry Christmas.

Date of next meeting – Tuesday 15 January 2019